Exhibit G Map of Service Area


Advantage Cellular Systems, Inc. cell -102 dBm capture areas, by color


cell -102 dBm capture areas, by color & cell name for PSAP 911 planning


within TN counties of Smith, De Kalb, White, Cannon, Coffee, Warren, Van Buren, & Grundy

0 10 20

CHR Solutions JRP October 31, 2002 miles










Exhibit H Example of Customers' Savings

Advantage Customer Savings

Customer subscribing to Advantage 400 Anytime, 3,000 Night / Weekend plan using 244 toll MOU per month breaks even with ILEC rates as noted.

Customer subscribing to Advantage 400 Anytime, 3,000 Night / Weekend plan using 680 toll MOU per month saves \$30.55 compared to ILEC calling with rates as noted.

Customer subscribing to Advantage 900 Anytime, 3,000 Night / Weekend plan using 1,560 toll MOU per month saves **\$58.15** compared to ILEC calling with rates as noted.

400 Anytime

	Breakeven	Savings	
		Nights / Weel	kends (N/W)
	No N/W	20% Toll	40% Toll
Total MOU	400	3,400	3,400
Toll MOU	244	680	1,360
Local	156	2,720	2,040
Rate	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00
Toll Flat	\$4.95		
Toll Per MOU	\$0.07		
LEC Cost [1]	\$35.00	\$65.55	\$113.15
Wireless Savings	\$0.00	(\$30.55)	(\$78.15)

900 Anytime

•	Breakeven	Savings Nights / Weekends (N/W)	
	No N/W	20% Toll	40% Toll
Total MOU	900	3,900	3,900
Toll MOU	729	780	1,560
Locai	171	3,120	2,340
Rate	\$69.00	\$69.00	\$69.00
Toll Flat	\$4.95		
Toll Per MOU	\$0.07		
LEC Cost [1]	\$69.00	\$72.55	\$127.15
Wireless Savings	\$0.00	(\$3.55)	(\$58.15)

[1] LEC Cost

Assumes \$7.00 Local Rate, \$6.00 SLC, \$4.95 Flat Rate Toll Buy-Down and Toll MOU as shown at 7 cents per minute

Exhibit I Dallas News Article

SBC is latest to offer unlimited long-distance calls

Options package might not be the best deal for everyone, experts say 04/02/2003

By VIKAS BAJAJ / The Dallas Morning News

Talk just keeps getting cheaper.

SBC Communications Inc., the leading local-phone company in Texas, launched a new calling plan Tuesday that lets customers place unlimited long-distance calls for a flat monthly fee. For \$20 a month, SBC's National Connections plan is available to customers who also buy its local-phone service, voice mail, caller ID and two other features. The move, which is similar to plans introduced in recent months by other phone companies, represents another marker in a trend that some experts call "the death of distance."

Talking with your mother in San Francisco is becoming no more expensive than talking with your mother-in-law in Frisco.

"The tremendous success of the technology has so driven down cost that prices have just dropped drastically until, when it comes to long-distance, it doesn't pay to bill per individual call," said Dr. A. Michael Noll, a professor at the University of Southern California's Annenberg School of Communications.

But experts caution consumers to carefully consider whether an unlimited plan would benefit them.

"It's just like the all-you-can-eat restaurant," said Janee Briesemeister, a senior policy analyst at Consumers Union in Austin. "It's definitely a good deal for the hungry football team that comes in after practice, but for most of us it may be a fair deal. The restaurant has to make money."

Consider this: In 2000, according to the most recent data available from the Federal Communications Commission, the average U.S. household made 116 minutes of long-distance calls a month. If a family bought SBC's \$20 plan and didn't chatter any more than that, it would effectively pay 17 cents a minute, much higher than if the family stuck with the company's regular 7-cent-a-minute plan.

Ms. Briesemeister also warns customers to be wary of signing long-term contracts and to pay attention to rate changes once the deals expire. In other cases, consumers may still end up paying more because companies require them to buy add-ons such as caller ID to get unlimited long-distance.

It's likely consumers who buy an unlimited long-distance plan will talk more because they would be less inhibited, Dr. Noll said, but companies are "also hoping that you are not going to speak that much."

Cellular's effect

Much of the credit for unlimited calling plans belongs to cellular phone companies, some of which dropped extra fees for long-distance in the 1990s. As those plans swelled to include hundreds of minutes of monthly usage, many Americans started picking up their mobile phones to place long-distance calls – even when they're at home.

"Once wireless changed the consumer perception where they didn't see any kind of difference between local calls and long-distance, these wireline companies were compelled to come up with these plans," said Imran Khan, an analyst with research firm Frost & Sullivan.

Those changes in the wireless business prompted AT&T Corp. in February 2002 to let customers make unlimited long-distance calls over landlines to other AT&T customers for \$19.95 a month. That was followed two months later by MCI's Neighborhood plan that offered unlimited local and long-distance for \$49.95 to \$59.95 a month, depending on the state.

Locals dragged in

Now come the local-phone giants: SBC, Verizon Communications Inc. and BellSouth Corp. In the last few years, regulators have allowed them into the long-distance business. (Verizon, which has been selling unlimited long-distance plans on the East Coast, said it will offer a similar package in Texas in late spring or early summer.)

The local-phone giants have been reluctantly dragged into offering unlimited plans, Mr. Khan said, because they are losing millions of customers to wireless services as well as to AT&T and MCl.

Other competitors, such as EarthLink Inc. and Vonage Holding Corp., are also battling for phone companies' customers by selling broadband users unlimited Internet-based local and long-distance calling for \$40 a month.

"They are facing such a level of competition that they don't have a choice but to offer this stuff," Mr. Khan said.

In 2002, SBC lost 3.2 million residential phone lines, 9.1 percent of its total. Verizon's residential business shrank 1.1 million lines, or 2.8 percent.

SBC concedes it has had to respond to wireless companies and MCI, and it is hoping to lock in customers by bundling unlimited calling into more lucrative packages that include features such as voice mail. The hope is a customer who buys more is less likely to switch just because someone offers them a slightly better deal.

"We are able to meet our competition in the marketplace with similar, and in most cases, better plans that are more flexible," said Michael Grasso, SBC's executive director of Internet marketing. "And in addition to that, we want to make sure that those customers who have the most products and services from SBC get the best discounts."

But it's unclear if the unlimited calling plans can bring back many Americans who have gone wireless full time. The cellular industry estimates that 3 percent to 5 percent of its 140.8 million subscribers use a mobile phone as their only phone, and many others rely on it as their primary means of communication.

In 2002, the average cellular phone user talked for 366 minutes a month, up from 296 minutes the year before, according to the Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association.

It'll be hard for wired phone companies to fight that kind of growth, Mr. Khan said. "It's kind of like trying to get a greater share of a sinking boat."

E-mail vbajaj@dallasnews.com

Exhibit J High Cost Certification



Law Offices of Bennet & Bennet PLLC

1000 Vermont Avenue, NW, Tenth Floor Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 371-1500 Fax: (202) 371-1558 e-mail: mail@bennetlaw.com www.bennetlaw.com Caressa D. Bennet Michael R. Bennet Marjorie G. Spivak Gregory W. Whiteaker Howard S. Shapiro Donald L. Herman, Jr. Rebecca L. Murphy Senior Communications Consultants
Kenneth C. Johnson
G. Kent Larsen

April 22, 2003

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Office of Managing Director Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE AND HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Irene Flannery USAC 2120 L Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20037

Re: Advantage Cellular Systems, Inc.

Certification for High Cost Loop Support

CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch and Ms. Flannery,

This certification is submitted on behalf of Advantage Cellular Systems, Inc. ("Advantage") in accordance with Federal Communications Commission's Rule Sections 54.313 and 54.314. On behalf of Advantage, I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that all high-cost support provided to Advantage will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended pursuant to Section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Wayne Gassaway

Advantage Cellular Systems, Inc.

Its Authorized Representative

Date 4-2/-03

Subscribed, Sworn to and Acknowledged before me this 2/day of goel, 2003.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission expires:

1.30-2006

Exhibit K Study Areas & Exchanges

Exhibit K Study Areas & Exchanges List 1

Advantage does not serve the entire study areas of the following telephone companies but Advantage's service does cover the following exchanges in their entirety:

Telephone Company	Exchange
BellSouth	Manchester
Ben Lomand	Bon De Croft Centertown Dibrell Doyle Hillsboro McMinnville Rural Old Zion Pelham Rock Island Sparta Rural Spencer Viola
DTC	Gordonsville Liberty Smithville Temperance Hall
Frontier	McMinnville Rural Sparta

Exhibit K Study Areas & Exchanges List 2

Advantage does not serve the entire study areas of the following telephone companies and Advantage's service covers only portions of the following exchanges:

Telephone Company Exchange

BellSouth Tullahoma

Ben Lomand Beech Grove

Beersheba/Altamont

Laager Monteagle Tracy City

Bledsoc Fall Creek Falls

DTC Alexandria

Auburntown Milton Woodbury Woodland

North Central Defeated

Pleasant Shade

Twin Lakes Baxter

Chestnut Mound

Exhibit L Declaration of Wayne Gassaway

Declaration of Wayne Gassaway

- 1. Wayne Gassaway, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows:
- 1. I am the authorized representative for Advantage Cellular Systems, Inc. ("Advantage") in charge of Advantage's Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Tennessee ("Application"). This affidavit is filed in support of the Application.
- 2. I have reviewed the foregoing Petition and the facts stated herein, of which I have personal knowledge, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
- 3. To the best of my knowledge, the Company referred to in the foregoing Petition, including all officers, directors, or persons holding 5% or more of the outstanding stock or shares (voting and/or non voting) of the applicant as specified in Section 1.2002(b) of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Rules are not subject to a denial of federal benefits, including FCC benefits, pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. § 862.
- 4. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 14-21, 2003.

Wayne Gassaway

Its Authorized Representative

Mayn Lassawo

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joy Barksdale, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Application of Advantage Cellular Systems, Inc. to be Designated as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier was served on May 9, 2003, by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, on the company listed below:

Dekalb Telephone Cooperative, Inc. d/b/a DTC Communications P.O. Box 247 111 High Street Alexandria, TN 37012-0247

BellSouth Telecommunications Inc. 26941 Cabot Road #134 Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Ben Lomand Rural Telephone Cooperative Inc. 311 N Chancery St P O Box 670 McMinnville, TN 37111

Bledsoe Telephone Cooperative Inc. 203 Cumberland Avenue P.O. Box 609 Pikeville, TN 37367-0609

Citizens Telecommunications Company of Tennessee d/b/a Frontier Communications 250 South Franklin Street Cookeville, TN 38501

North Central Telephone Cooperative Inc. 872. E. Hwy. 52 Bypass PO Box 70 Lafayette, TN 37083

Twin Lakes Telephone Cooperative Corporation 201 Gore Street PO Box 67 Gainesboro, TN 38562-0067

Toy Barksdale

DOCKET NO. 96-45

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:
o This document is confidential (NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION)

- An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the ECFS system.
 - o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.
- o Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the ECFS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed (**EXCLUDING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS**) by contacting an Information Technician at the FCC Reference Information Centers) at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician

1 map